

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,698	06/02/2006	Takashi Kenmoku	03500.103896.	6953	
5514 FITZPATRIC	7590 07/22/200 K CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			BOYKIN, T	BOYKIN, TERRESSA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) KENMOKU ET AL.		
10/581,698			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Terressa M. Boykin	1796		

	,	
Ctatus		

		Terressa M. Boykin	1796	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY ONE of the available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13 (SIX 6)) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Denoted for epily is specified above, the macroum statutory period very experience of the provision of th	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	<u>ıne 2008</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
	443			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(RTO 412)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
20 11-6-4	marking Black and One of all (BTG) (BTG) (OF)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Asslication	

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,698

Art Unit: 1796

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-24-8 with regard to claims 1-5 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although applicants' arguments are well noted and understood. The Examiner would like to point out that applicants have focused on the aspects of how the PHA of the reference **USP 6083729** is obtained (whether via plant etc.) and not on the structure of the polyhydroxyalkanoate itself. This is irrelevant with regard to claims 1-5 since the no process is claimed therein.

Secondly, the applicants have stated that Martin provides no reason for one skilled in the art to even embark on such research...." However, USP 6083729 does simply states as noted previously that the side chain my include hydrocarbon radicals, halo- and hydroxy-substituted radicals....as note in col. 3 lines 62-67 and acknowledged by applicants argument on page 16.

Applicant's arguments filed 6-24-8 with regard to claims 6-11 have been fully considered and are persuasive with regard to the reference USP 6083729.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,698

Art Unit: 1796

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

First the recited subscripts for R_1 , R_{1a} and OR_{1a} , i.e. "1a", has not been properly defined and does not exist in the structural formula.

Next, the recited "when multiple units exist" is also unclear" as applicants intended meaning with regard to the units and/or situation "when" this applies.

Lastly, note that when m is 0 ,or zero, it is not clear whether the chemical formula does in fact remain within the scope of the specifications intended polyhydroxyalkanoate as claimed.

With regard to claims 6 -11 note that although applicants have set forth the process steps including that of polymerizing and subjecting are properly set forth in the specification, it is noted that the steps contain therein with specific parameters, amounts or conditions upon which these steps may be performed as found in paragraphs [0048], [0061], [0068], [0075]. And, although applicants have set forth these parameters as being preferable, there is no indication or examples that parameters, conditions or amounts outside of those disclosed would result in the polymer as claimed and discussed. The claimed process must recite all positive, active step and any process parameters necessitated by the specification so that the claim will "clearly set out and circumscribe a particular area with a reasonable degree of precision and particularity, In re Moore, 169 USPQ 236, and make it clear what subject matter the

Application/Control Number: 10/581,698

Art Unit: 1796

claim encompasses, as well as make clear the subject matter from others would be

precluded. In re Hammack 166 USPQ 204.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1069 . The examiner can normally be reached at (571) 272-0580 on Monday through

Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Seidleck , can be reached at (571) 272- 1078 . The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Terressa M. Boykin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796